arXiv:math/0303232v1 [math.RT] 19 Mar 2003

MONOMIAL RELIZATION OF CRYSTAL BASES FOR SPECIAL LINEAR LIE ALGEBRAS

SEOK-JIN KANG**, JEONG-AH KIM†◇ AND DONG-UY SHIN†*

Abstract. We give a new realization of crystal bases for finite dimensional irreducible modules over special linear Lie algebras using the monomials introduced by H. Nakajima. We also discuss the connection between this monomial realization and the tableau realization given by Kashiwara and Nakashima.

Introduction

The *quantum groups*, which are certain deformations of the universal enveloping algebras of Kac-Moody algebras, were introduced independently by V. G. Drinfeld and M. Jimbo [1, 4]. In [6, 7], M. Kashiwara developed the *crystal basis theory* for integrable modules over quantum groups. Crystal bases can be viewed as bases at $q = 0$ and they are given a structure of colored oriented graphs, called the *crystal graphs*. Crystal graphs have many nice combinatorial properties reflecting the internal structure of integrable modules. Moreover, crystal bases have a remarkably nice behavior with respect to taking the tensor product.

In [13], while studying the structure of quiver varieties, H. Nakajima discovered that one can define a crystal structure on the set of irreducible components of a lagrangian subvariety $\mathfrak{Z}$ of the quiver variety $\mathfrak{M}$. These irreducible components are identified with certain monomials, and the action of Kashiwara operators can be interpreted as multiplication by monomials. Moreover, in [13] and [8], M. Kashiwara and H. Nakajima gave a crystal structure on the set $\mathcal{M}$ of monomials and they showed that the connected component $\mathcal{M}(\lambda)$ of $\mathcal{M}$ containing a highest weight vector M with a dominant integral weight λ is isomorphic to the irreducible highest weight crystal $B(\lambda)$. Therefore, a natural question arises: for each dominant integral weight λ, can we give an explicit characterization of the monomials in $\mathcal{M}(\lambda)$?

In this paper, for any dominant integral weight λ, we give an explicit description of the crystal $\mathcal{M}(\lambda)$ for special linear Lie algebras. In addition, we discuss the connection between the monomial realization and tableau realization of crystal bases given by Kashiwara and Nakashima. More precisely, let $T(\lambda)$ denote the crystal consisting of semistandard tableaux

---

[*] This research was supported by KOSEF Grant # 98-0701-01-5-L and the Young Scientist Award, Korean Academy of Science and Technology.

[†] This research was supported by KOSEF Grant # 98-0701-01-5-L and BK21 Mathematical Sciences Division, Seoul National University.

of shape λ. Then we show that there exists a canonical crystal isomorphism between $\mathcal{M}(\lambda)$ and $T(\lambda)$, which has a very natural interpretation in the language of insertion scheme.

This work was initiated when the authors visited RIMS, Kyoto University, in the spring of 2002. We would like to express our sincere gratitude to Professor M. Kashiwara for his kindness and stimulating discussions during our visit.

1. Crystal bases

Let I be a finite set and set $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j\in I}$ be a generalized Cartan matrix. Consider the *Cartan datum* $(A, \Pi, \Pi^\vee, P, P^\vee)$, where

$$\begin{aligned}
&P^\vee = \Big(\bigoplus_{i\in I}\mathbf{Z}h_i\Big)\bigoplus\Big(\bigoplus_{j=1}^{\mathrm{corank}A}\mathbf{Z}d_j\Big) : \text{the } \textit{dual weight lattice},\\
&P = \{\lambda\in\mathfrak{h}^* | \lambda(P^\vee)\subset\mathbf{Z}\} : \text{the } \textit{weight lattice},\\
&\Pi^\vee = \{h_i | \, i\in I\} : \text{the set of } \textit{simple coroots},\\
&\Pi = \{\alpha_i | \, i\in I\}\subset\mathfrak{h}^* : \text{the } \textit{simple roots}.
\end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathfrak{h} = \mathbf{Q}\otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} P^\vee$ be the *Cartan subalgebra* and fix a nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form $(\ |\ )$ on $\mathfrak{h}^*$ satisfying:

$$\frac{(\alpha_i|\alpha_i)}{2}\in\mathbf{Z}_{>0} \quad\text{and}\quad \lambda(h_i) = \frac{2(\lambda|\alpha_i)}{(\alpha_i|\alpha_i)} \quad\text{for all } i\in I,\ \lambda\in\mathfrak{h}^*.$$

The *quantum group* $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ associated with the cartan datum $(A, \Pi, \Pi^\vee, P, P^\vee)$ is the associative algebra over $\mathbf{Q}(q)$ with 1 generated by the elements e_i, f_i $(i\in I)$ and q^h $(h\in P^\vee)$ with the following defining relations:

$$\begin{aligned}
&q^0 = 1, \quad q^h q^{h'} = q^{h+h'} \quad \text{for } h, h'\in P^\vee,\\
&q^h e_i q^{-h} = q^{\alpha_i(h)}e_i, \quad q^h f_i q^{-h} = q^{-\alpha_i(h)}f_i \quad \text{for } h\in P^\vee, i\in I,\\
&e_i f_j - f_j e_i = \delta_{ij}\frac{K_i - K_i^{-1}}{q_i - q_i^{-1}} \quad \text{for } i, j\in I,\\
&\sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}}(-1)^k\begin{bmatrix}1-a_{ij}\\ k\end{bmatrix}_i e_i^{1-a_{ij}-k}e_je_i^k = 0 \quad \text{for } i\neq j,\\
&\sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}}(-1)^k\begin{bmatrix}1-a_{ij}\\ k\end{bmatrix}_i f_i^{1-a_{ij}-k}f_jf_i^k = 0 \quad \text{for } i\neq j.
\end{aligned}\tag{1.1}$$

Here, we use the notations:

$$\begin{aligned}
&q_i = q^{\frac{(\alpha_i|\alpha_i)}{2}}, \quad K_i = q^{\frac{(\alpha_i|\alpha_i)}{2}h_i},\\
&[k]_i = \frac{q_i^k - q_i^{-k}}{q_i - q_i^{-1}}, \quad [n]_i! = \prod_{k=1}^n [k]_i, \quad \begin{bmatrix}m\\ n\end{bmatrix}_i = \frac{[m]_i!}{[n]_i!\,[m-n]_i!}.
\end{aligned}$$

We also define $Q = \bigoplus_{i\in I} \mathbf{Z}\alpha_i$, $Q_+ = \sum_{i\in I} \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}\alpha_i$ and

$$P^+ = \{\lambda \in P \mid \lambda(h_i) \geq 0 \text{ for all } i \in I\}.$$

In particular, the linear functional $\Lambda_i \in P^+$ $(i \in I)$ defined by

$$\Lambda_i(h_j) = \delta_{ij}, \ \ \Lambda_i(d_s) = 0 \text{ for } j \in I, s = 1, \cdots, \text{corank}A \tag{1.2}$$

is called the *fundamental weight*.

The *category* $\mathcal{O}_{int}$ consists of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-modules M satisfying the properties:

(i) $M = \bigoplus_{\lambda\in P} M_\lambda$ with $\dim M_\lambda < \infty$, where

$$M_\lambda = \{v \in M \mid q^h v = q^{\lambda(h)} v \text{ for all } h \in P^\vee\},$$

(ii) there exist finitely many elements $\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_s \in P$ such that

$$\text{wt}(M) \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^s (\lambda_j - Q_+),$$

where $\text{wt}(M) = \{\lambda \in P | M_\lambda \neq 0\}$,

(iii) e_i and f_i $(i \in I)$ are locally nilpotent on M.

For each $i \in I$, it is well-known that every $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-module in the category $\mathcal{O}_{int}$ is a direct sum of finite dimensional irreducible $U_{(i)}$-submodules, where $U_{(i)} = \langle e_i, f_i, K_i^{\pm 1}\rangle \cong U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$.

Fix an index $i \in I$ and set $e_i^{(n)} = e_i^n/[n]_i!$, $f_i^{(n)} = f_i^n/[n]_i!$. Then every weight vector $v \in M_\lambda$ can be written uniquely as

$$v = \sum_{k\geq 0} f_i^{(k)} v_k,$$

with $v_k \in \ker e_i \cap M_{\lambda + k\alpha_i}$. We define the *Kashiwara operators* $\tilde{e}_i$ and $\tilde{f}_i$ on M by

$$\tilde{e}_i v = \sum_{k\geq 1} f_i^{(k-1)} v_k, \qquad \tilde{f}_i v = \sum_{k\geq 0} f_i^{(k+1)} v_k. \tag{1.3}$$

Let $\mathbf{A}_0 = \{f/g \in \mathbf{Q}(q) \mid f, g \in \mathbf{Q}[q],\ g(0) \neq 0\}$.

Definition 1.1. A *crystal basis* of M is a pair (L, B) satisfying the following conditions:

(i) L is a free $\mathbf{A}_0$-submodule of M such that $M \cong \mathbf{Q}(q) \otimes_{\mathbf{A}_0} L$,

(ii) B is a $\mathbf{Q}$-basis of $L/qL \cong \mathbf{Q} \otimes_{\mathbf{A}_0} L$,

(iii) $L = \bigoplus_{\lambda\in P} L_\lambda$, where $L_\lambda = L \cap M_\lambda$,

(iv) $B = \bigsqcup_{\lambda\in P} B_\lambda$, where $B_\lambda = B \cap (L_\lambda/qL_\lambda)$,

(v) $\tilde{e}_i L \subset L$, $\tilde{f}_i L \subset L$ for all $i \in I$,

(vi) $\tilde{e}_i B \subset B \cup \{0\}$, $\tilde{f}_i B \subset B \cup \{0\}$ for all $i \in I$,

(vii) for all $b, b' \in B$ and $i \in I$, $\tilde{f}_i b = b'$ if and only if $b = \tilde{e}_i b'$.

The set B is given a colored oriented graph structure with the arrows defined by

$$b \xrightarrow{i} b' \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \tilde{f}_i b = b'.$$

The graph B is called the *crystal graph* of M and it reflects the combinatorial structure of M. For instance, we have

$$\dim_{\mathbf{Q}(q)} M_\lambda = \# B_\lambda \quad \text{for all } \lambda \in P.$$

Moreover, the crystal basis have a very nice behavior with respect to the tensor product. For each $b \in B$ and $i \in I$, we define

$$\varepsilon_i(b) = \max\{k \geq 0 | \, \tilde{e}_i^k b \in B\}, \qquad \varphi_i(b) = \max\{k \geq 0 | \, \tilde{f}_i^k b \in B\}. \tag{1.4}$$

Then we have:

Proposition 1.2. [6, 7] *Let M_j $(j = 1, 2)$ be a $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-module in the category $\mathcal{O}_{int}$ and (L_j, B_j) be its crystal basis. Set*

$$L = L_1 \otimes_{\mathbf{A}_0} L_2, \quad B = B_1 \times B_2.$$

Then (L, B) is a crystal basis of $M_1 \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}(q)} M_2$, where the Kashiwara operators on B are given by

$$\tilde{e}_i(b_1 \otimes b_2) = \begin{cases} \tilde{e}_i b_1 \otimes b_2 & \text{if } \varphi_i(b_1) \geq \varepsilon_i(b_2), \\ b_1 \otimes \tilde{e}_i b_2 & \text{if } \varphi_i(b_1) < \varepsilon_i(b_2), \end{cases}$$

$$\tilde{f}_i(b_1 \otimes b_2) = \begin{cases} \tilde{f}_i b_1 \otimes b_2 & \text{if } \varphi_i(b_1) > \varepsilon_i(b_2), \\ b_1 \otimes \tilde{f}_i b_2 & \text{if } \varphi_i(b_1) \leq \varepsilon_i(b_2). \end{cases}$$

We close this section with the existence and uniqueness theorem for crystal bases.

Proposition 1.3. [7] *Let $V(\lambda)$ be the irreducible highest weight $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-module with highest weight $\lambda \in P^+$ and highest weight vector v_λ. Let $L(\lambda)$ be the free $\mathbf{A}_0$-submodule of $V(\lambda)$ spanned by the vectors of the form $\tilde{f}_{i_1} \cdots \tilde{f}_{i_r} v_\lambda$ $(i_k \in I, r \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0})$ and set*

$$B(\lambda) = \{\tilde{f}_{i_1} \cdots \tilde{f}_{i_r} u_\lambda + qL(\lambda) \in L(\lambda)/qL(\lambda)\} \setminus \{0\}.$$

Then $(L(\lambda), B(\lambda))$ is a crystal basis of $V(\lambda)$ and every crystal basis of $V(\lambda)$ is isomorphic to $(L(\lambda), B(\lambda))$.

2. NAKAJAMA'S MONOMIALS

In this section, we briefly recall the crystal structure on the set of monomials discovered by H. Nakajima [13]. Our expression follows that of M. Kashiwara [8].

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be the set of monomials in the variables $Y_i(n)$ for $i \in I$ and $n \in \mathbf{Z}$. Here, a typical elements M of $\mathcal{M}$ has the form

$$M = Y_{i_1}(n_1)^{a_1} \cdots Y_{i_r}(n_r)^{a_r}, \tag{2.1}$$

where $i_k \in I, n_k, a_k \in \mathbf{Z}$ for $k = 1, \cdots, r$. Since $Y_i(n)$'s are commuting variables, we may assume that $n_1 \leq n_2 \leq \cdots \leq n_r$.

For a monomial $M = Y_{i_1}(n_1)^{a_1} \cdots Y_{i_r}(n_r)^{a_r}$, we define

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{wt}(M) = \sum_{k=1}^{r} a_k \Lambda_{i_k} = a_1\Lambda_{i_1} + \cdots a_r \Lambda_{i_r},\\
&\varphi_i(M) = \max\Big(\Big\{ \sum_{\substack{k=1\\ i_k=i}}^{s} a_k \mid 1 \le s \le r \Big\} \cup \{0\}\Big),\\
&\varepsilon_i(M) = \max\Big(\Big\{ -\sum_{\substack{k=s+1\\ i_k=i}}^{r} a_k \mid 1 \le s \le r-1 \Big\} \cup \{0\}\Big).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

It is easy to verify that $\varphi_i(M) \ge 0, \varepsilon_i(M) \ge 0$, and $\langle h_i, \mathrm{wt}M\rangle = \varphi_i(M) - \varepsilon_i(M)$.

First, we define

$$\begin{aligned}
n_f &= \text{smallest } n_s \text{ such that } \varphi_i(M) = \sum_{\substack{k=1\\ i_k=i}}^{s} a_k\\
&= \text{smallest } n_s \text{ such that } \varepsilon_i(M) = -\sum_{\substack{k=s+1\\ i_k=i}}^{r} a_k,\\
n_e &= \text{largest } n_s \text{ such that } \varphi_i(M) = \sum_{\substack{k=1\\ i_k=i}}^{s} a_k\\
&= \text{largest } n_s \text{ such that } \varepsilon_i(M) = -\sum_{\substack{k=s+1\\ i_k=i}}^{r} a_k.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

In addition, choose a set $C = (c_{ij})_{i \ne j}$ of integers such that $c_{ij} + c_{ji} = 1$, and define

$$A_i(n) = Y_i(n)Y_i(n+1)\prod_{j \ne i} Y_j(n + c_{ji})^{\alpha_i(h_j)}.$$

Now, the *Kashiwara operators* $\tilde{e}_i$, $\tilde{f}_i$ $(i \in I)$ on $\mathcal{M}$ are defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{f}_i(M) &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \varphi_i(M) = 0,\\ A_i(n_f)^{-1}M & \text{if } \varphi_i(M) > 0, \end{cases}\\
\tilde{e}_i(M) &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \varepsilon_i(M) = 0,\\ A_i(n_e)M & \text{if } \varepsilon_i(M) > 0. \end{cases}
\end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

Then the maps $\mathrm{wt} : \mathcal{M} \to P$, $\varphi_i, \varepsilon_i : \mathcal{M} \to \mathbf{Z} \cup \{-\infty\}$, $\tilde{e}_i, \tilde{f}_i : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M} \cup \{0\}$ define a $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-crystal structure on $\mathcal{M}$ [8, 13].

Moreover, we have

Proposition 2.1. [8]

(i) *For each $i \in I$, $\mathcal{M}$ is isomorphic to a crystal graph of an integrable $U_{(i)}$-module.*

(ii) *Let M be a monomial with weight λ such that $\tilde{e}_i M = 0$ for all $i \in I$, and let $\mathcal{M}(\lambda)$ be the connected component of $\mathcal{M}$ containing M. Then there exists a crystal isomorphism*

$$\mathcal{M}(\lambda) \xrightarrow{\sim} B(\lambda) \ \text{ given by } \ M \longmapsto v_\lambda.$$

Example 2.2. Let $\mathfrak{g} = A_2$, and choose $c_{12} = 1$ and $c_{21} = 0$. The crystal $\mathcal{M}(\lambda)$ is given as follows.

(1) $\mathcal{M}(\Lambda_1)$:

$$\begin{tikzcd} Y_1(0) \arrow[r, "1"] & Y_1(1)^{-1}Y_2(0) \arrow[r, "2"] & Y_2(1)^{-1} \end{tikzcd}$$

(2) $\mathcal{M}(2\Lambda_1)$:

$$\begin{tikzcd} Y_1(0)^2 \arrow[r, "1"] & Y_1(0)Y_1(1)^{-1}Y_2(0) \arrow[r, "2"] \arrow[d, "1"] & Y_1(0)Y_2(1)^{-1} \arrow[d, "1"] & \\ & Y_1(1)^{-2}Y_2(0)^2 \arrow[r, "2"] & Y_1(1)^{-1}Y_2(0)Y_2(1)^{-1} \arrow[r, "2"] & Y_2(1)^{-2} \end{tikzcd}$$

(3) $\mathcal{M}(\Lambda_1 + \Lambda_2)$:

$$\begin{tikzcd} & Y_1(0)Y_2(0) \arrow[dl, "1"'] \arrow[dr, "2"] & \\ Y_1(1)^{-1}Y_2(0)^2 \arrow[d, "2"] & & Y_1(0)Y_1(1)Y_2(1)^{-1} \arrow[d, "1"'] \\ Y_1(0)Y_2(0)^{-1} \arrow[drr, "1"] & & Y_2(0)Y_2(1)^{-1} \arrow[dll, "2"'] \\ Y_1(1)^{-1}Y_1(2)^{-1}Y_2(0) \arrow[dr, "2"] & & Y_1(1)Y_2(1)^{-2} \arrow[dl, "1"'] \\ & Y_1(2)Y_2(1)^{-1} & \end{tikzcd}$$

Note that $\mathcal{M}(\Lambda_1) \cong B(\Lambda_1)$, $\mathcal{M}(2\Lambda_1) \cong B(2\Lambda_1)$, and $\mathcal{M}(\Lambda_1 + \Lambda_2) \cong B(\Lambda_1 + \Lambda_2)$, respectively.

3. CHARACTERIZATION OF $\mathcal{M}(\lambda)$

In this section, we give an explicit characterization of the crystal $\mathcal{M}(\lambda)$ for special linear Lie algebras. Let $I = \{1, \cdots, n\}$ and let $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j \in I}$ be the generalized Cartan matrix of type A_n. Here, the entries of A are given by

$$a_{ij} = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } \ i = j, \\ -1 & \text{if } \ |i - j| = 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

We define by $U_q(\mathfrak{g}) = U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1})$ the corresponding quantum group. For simplicity, we take the set $C = (c_{ij})_{i\neq j}$ to be

$$c_{ij} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i > j, \\ 1 & \text{if } i < j, \end{cases} \tag{3.2}$$

and set $Y_0(m)^{\pm 1} = Y_{n+1}(m)^{\pm 1} = 1$ for all $m \in \mathbf{Z}$. Then for $i \in I$ and $m \in \mathbf{Z}$, we have

$$A_i(m) = Y_i(m)Y_i(m+1)Y_{i-1}(m+1)^{-1}Y_{i+1}(m)^{-1}. \tag{3.3}$$

To characterize $\mathcal{M}(\lambda)$, we first focus on the case when $\lambda = \Lambda_k$. Let $M_0 = Y_k(m)$ for $m \in \mathbf{Z}$. By (2.2), we see that

$$\mathrm{wt}(M_0) = \Lambda_k,\ \varphi_i(M_0) = \delta_{ik} \text{ and } \varepsilon_i(M_0) = 0 \text{ for all } i \in I.$$

Hence $\tilde{e}_i M_0 = 0$ for all $i \in I$ and the connected component containing M_0 is isomorphic to $B(\Lambda_k)$ over $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$. For simplicity, we will take $M_0 = Y_k(0)$, even if that does not make much difference.

Proposition 3.1. *For $k = 1, \cdots, n$, let $M_0 = Y_k(0)$ be a highest weight vector of weight Λ_k. Then the connected component $\mathcal{M}(\Lambda_k)$ of $\mathcal{M}$ containing M_0 is characterized as*

$$\mathcal{M}(\Lambda_k) = \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^{r} Y_{a_j}(m_{j-1})^{-1}Y_{b_j}(m_j) \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} \text{(i) } 0 \le a_1 < b_1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_r < b_r \le n+1, \\ \text{(ii) } k = m_0 > m_1 > \cdots > m_{r-1} > m_r = 0, \\ \text{(iii) } a_j + m_{j-1} = b_j + m_j \textit{ for all } j = 1, \cdots, r \le k. \end{array} \right\}.$$

Proof. By Proposition 2.1, it suffices to prove the following statements:

(a) For all $i \in I$, we have $\tilde{e}_i\mathcal{M}(\lambda) \subset \mathcal{M}(\lambda) \cup \{0\}$, $\quad \tilde{f}_i\mathcal{M}(\lambda) \subset \mathcal{M}(\lambda) \cup \{0\}$.

(b) For all $M \in \mathcal{M}(\lambda)$, there exist a sequence of indices $i_1, \cdots, i_t$ in I such that

$$\tilde{e}_{i_1} \cdots \tilde{e}_{i_t} M = M_0.$$

Let $i \in I$ and $M = Y_{a_1}(m_0)^{-1}Y_{b_1}(m_1) \cdots Y_{a_r}(m_{r-1})^{-1}Y_{b_r}(m_r) \in \mathcal{M}(\Lambda_k)$. If $i \neq b_j$ for all j, then $\varphi_i(M) = 0$, which implies $\tilde{f}_i M = 0$.

If $i = b_j$ for some j, then $\varphi_i(M) = \varphi_{b_j}(M) = 1$, $n_f = b_j$, and

$$A_{b_j}(m_j) = Y_{b_j-1}(m_j+1)^{-1}Y_{b_j}(m_j)Y_{b_j}(m_j+1)Y_{b_j+1}(m_j)^{-1}.$$

Hence we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{f}_i M &= A_{b_j}(m_j)^{-1}M \\ &= Y_{a_1}(m_0)^{-1}Y_{b_1}(m_1) \cdots Y_{a_j}(m_{j-1})^{-1}Y_{b_j-1}(m_j+1) \\ &\quad \times Y_{b_j}(m_j+1)^{-1}Y_{b_j+1}(m_j)Y_{a_{j+1}}(m_j)^{-1}Y_{b_{j+1}}(m_{j+1}) \cdots Y_{a_r}(m_{r-1})^{-1}Y_{b_r}(m_r). \end{aligned}$$

If $a_j < b_j - 1$ and $b_j + 1 < a_{j+1}$, then since $a_j + m_{j-1} = (b_j - 1) + (m_j + 1)$, $b_j + (m_j + 1) = (b_j + 1) + m_j$, it is easy to see that $\tilde{f}_i M \in \mathcal{M}(\Lambda_k)$. If $a_j = b_j - 1$, then since $a_j + m_{j-1} = (b_j - 1) + (m_j + 1)$, we have $m_{j-1} = m_j + 1$, which implies $Y_{a_j}(m_{j-1})^{-1}Y_{b_j-1}(m_j+1) = 1$. On the other hand, if $b_j + 1 = a_{j+1}$, then $Y_{b_j+1}(m_j)Y_{a_{j+1}}(m_j)^{-1} = 1$ and $b_j + (m_j + 1) = a_{j+1} + m_j = b_{j+1} + m_{j+1}$. Hence $\tilde{f}_i M \in \mathcal{M}(\Lambda_k)$.

Similarly, one can prove $\tilde{e}_i M \in \mathcal{M}(\Lambda_k) \cup \{0\}$.

To prove (b), we have only to show that if $M \in \mathcal{M}(\Lambda_k)$ and $\tilde{e}_i M = 0$ for all $i \in I$, then $M = M_0 = Y_k(0)$. But this is obvious, for otherwise, we would have $\varepsilon_{a_j}(M) = 1 \neq 0$. □

Remark 3.2. If we take $M_0 = Y_k(N)$, then we have only to modify the condition for m_j's as follows:

$$k + N = m_0 > m_1 > \cdots > m_{r-1} > m_r = N.$$

For $i \in I$ and $m \in \mathbf{Z}$, we introduce new variables

$$X_i(m) = Y_{i-1}(m+1)^{-1} Y_i(m). \tag{3.4}$$

Using this notation, every monomial $M = \prod_{j=1}^{r} Y_{a_j}(m_{j-1})^{-1} Y_{b_j}(m_j) \in \mathcal{M}(\Lambda_k)$ may be written as

$$M = \prod_{j=1}^{r} X_{a_j+1}(m_{j-1}-1) X_{a_j+2}(m_{j-1}-2) \cdots X_{b_j}(m_j).$$

For example, we have $M_0 = Y_k(0) = X_1(k-1) X_2(k-2) \cdots X_k(0)$.

Now, it is straightforward to verify that we have another characterization of the crystal $\mathcal{M}(\Lambda_k)$.

Corollary 3.3. *For $k = 1, \cdots, n$, we have*

$$\mathcal{M}(\Lambda_k) = \{X_{i_1}(k-1) X_{i_2}(k-2) \cdots X_{i_k}(0) \,|\, 1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_k \leq n+1\}.$$

Remark 3.4. If we take $M_0 = Y_k(N)$, then we need to replace $X_i(m)$ by $X_i(m+N)$. That is,

$$\mathcal{M}(\Lambda_k) = \{X_{i_1}(N+k-1) X_{i_2}(N+k-2) \cdots X_{i_k}(N) \,|\, 1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_k \leq n+1\}.$$

We now consider the general case.

Definition 3.5. Set $M = \prod_t Y_{a_t}(m_t)^{-1} Y_{b_t}(n_t)$ with $a_t + m_t = b_t + n_t$.

(a) For each $k = 0, \cdots, n-1$, we define $M(k)^+$ to be the product of $Y_{a_t}(m_t)^{-1} Y_{b_t}(n_t)$'s in M with $n_t = k$; that is,

$$\begin{aligned} M(k)^+ &= \prod_{t: n_t = k} Y_{a_t}(m_t)^{-1} Y_{b_t}(n_t) \\ &= \prod_t Y_{a_t}(m_t)^{-1} Y_{b_t}(k). \end{aligned}$$

(b) For each $k = 1, \cdots, n$, we define $M(k)^-$ to be the product of $Y_{a_t}(m_t)^{-1} Y_{b_t}(n_t)$'s in M with $m_t = k$; that is,

$$\begin{aligned} M(k)^- &= \prod_{t: m_t = k} Y_{a_t}(m_t)^{-1} Y_{b_t}(n_t) \\ &= \prod_t Y_{a_t}(k)^{-1} Y_{b_t}(n_t). \end{aligned}$$

Now, for $M(k)^+ = \prod_t Y_{a_t}(m_t)^{-1}Y_{b_t}(k)$, we denote by $\lambda^+(M(k))$ the sequence $(b_{i_1}, b_{i_2}, \cdots, b_{i_r})$ whose terms are arranged in such a way that $n+1 \geq b_{i_1} \geq b_{i_2} \geq \cdots \geq b_{i_r}$. Similarly, for $M(k)^- = \prod_t Y_{a_t}(k)^{-1}Y_{b_t}(n_t)$, we denote by $\lambda^-(M(k))$ the sequence $(a_{j_1}, a_{j_2}, \cdots, a_{j_s})$ whose terms are arranged in such a way that $n+1 > a_{j_1} \geq a_{j_2} \geq \cdots \geq a_{j_s}$.

Definition 3.6. Let $(\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_r)$ and $(\mu_1, \cdots, \mu_s)$ be the sequences such that

$$\lambda_i \geq \lambda_{i+1} \ (1 \leq i \leq r-1), \quad \mu_j \geq \mu_{j+1} \ (1 \leq j \leq s-1).$$

We define $(\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_r) \prec (\mu_1, \cdots, \mu_s)$ if

$$r \leq s \text{ and } \lambda_i < \mu_i \text{ for all } i = 1, \cdots, r.$$

Example 3.7. Let M be a monomial $(Y_1(2)^{-1}Y_3(0)) \cdot (Y_0(2)^{-1}Y_1(1)) \cdot (Y_2(1)^{-1}Y_3(0))$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
M(0)^+ &= (Y_1(2)^{-1}Y_3(0)) \cdot (Y_2(1)^{-1}Y_3(0)),\\
M(1)^+ &= Y_0(2)^{-1}Y_1(1),\\
M(1)^- &= Y_2(1)^{-1}Y_3(0),\\
M(2)^- &= (Y_1(2)^{-1}Y_3(0)) \cdot (Y_0(2)^{-1}Y_1(1)).
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, the sequences $\lambda^+(M(0)) = (3,3)$, $\lambda^+(M(1)) = (1)$, $\lambda^-(M(1)) = (2)$, and $\lambda^-(M(2)) = (1,0)$. Therefore, $\lambda^+(M(1)) \prec \lambda^-(M(1))$.

Theorem 3.8. *Let $\lambda = a_1\Lambda_1 + \cdots + a_n\Lambda_n$ be a dominant integral weight and let $M_0 = Y_1(0)^{a_1} \cdots Y_n(0)^{a_n}$ be a highest weight vector of weight λ in $\mathcal{M}$. The connected component $\mathcal{M}(\lambda)$ in $\mathcal{M}$ containing M_0 is characterized as the set of monomials of the form*

$$\prod_i Y_{a_t}(m_t)^{-1}Y_{b_t}(n_t)$$

with $a_t + m_t = b_t + n_t$ satisfying the following conditions:

(i) *$\lambda^+(M(k)) \prec \lambda^-(M(k))$ for $k = 1, \cdots, n-1$.*
(ii) *If $\lambda^+(M(k)) = (b_{i_1}, b_{i_2}, \cdots, b_{i_r})$ and $\lambda^-(M(k)) = (a_{j_1}, a_{j_2}, \cdots, a_{j_s})$, then $s - r = a_k$.*

Proof. As in Proposition 3.1, it suffices to prove the following statements:

(a) For all $i = 1, \cdots, n$, we have

$$\tilde{e}_i\mathcal{M}(\lambda) \subset \mathcal{M}(\lambda) \cup \{0\}, \quad \tilde{f}_i\mathcal{M}(\lambda) \subset \mathcal{M}(\lambda) \cup \{0\}.$$

(b) If $M \in \mathcal{M}(\lambda)$ and $\tilde{e}_iM = 0$ for all $i \in I$, then $M = M_0$.

We first prove the statement (a). Let $i \in I$ and $M = \prod_t Y_{a_t}(m_t)^{-1}Y_{b_t}(n_t)$ be a monomial of $\mathcal{M}(\lambda)$. Assume that $\tilde{f}_iM \neq 0$. Then $i = b_t$ for some t and $\tilde{f}_{b_t}M$ is obtained from M by multiplying

$$A_{b_t}(n_t)^{-1} = Y_{b_t-1}(n_t+1)Y_{b_t}(n_t)^{-1}Y_{b_t}(n_t+1)^{-1}Y_{b_t+1}(n_t).$$

If we express as $M = Y_{a_t}(m_t)^{-1}Y_{b_t}(n_t)M'$, then $\tilde{f}_{b_t}M$ is expressed as

$$\tilde{f}_{b_t}M = Y_{a_t}(m_t)^{-1}Y_{b_t-1}(n_t+1)Y_{b_t}(n_t+1)^{-1}Y_{b_t+1}(n_t)M'.$$

Note that $(\tilde{f}_{b_t}M)(k)^- = M(k)^-$ and $(\tilde{f}_{b_t}M)(k)^+ = M(k)^+$ unless $k = n_t$ and n_t+1. At first, consider the case when $k = n_t$. Let $\lambda^-(M(n_t)) = (a_{j_1}, a_{j_2}, \cdots, a_{j_s})$ and $\lambda^+(M(n_t)) = (b_{i_1}, \cdots, b_{i_p} = b_t, \cdots, b_{i_r})$. Since $\lambda^-(M(n_t)) > \lambda^+(M(n_t))$, $a_{j_p} > b_{i_p} = b_t$. If $a_{j_p} > b_t + 1$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^-(\tilde{f}_{b_t}M(n_t)) &= (a_{j_1}, \cdots, a_{j_p}, \cdots, a_{j_s}), \\ \lambda^+(\tilde{f}_{b_t}M(n_t)) &= (b_{i_1}, \cdots, b_{i_p} = b_t + 1, \cdots, b_{i_r}) \end{aligned}$$

If $a_{j_p} = b_t + 1$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^-(\tilde{f}_{b_t}M(n_t)) &= (a_{j_1}, \cdots, a_{j_{p-1}}, a_{j_{p+1}}, \cdots, a_{j_s}), \\ \lambda^+(\tilde{f}_{b_t}M(n_t)) &= (b_{i_1}, \cdots, b_{j_{p-1}}, b_{j_{p+1}}, \cdots, b_{i_r}) \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that $\tilde{f}_{b_t}M$ satisfies the condition (i) and (ii). Secondly, for the case $k = n_t + 1$, we have

$$\lambda^-((\tilde{f}_{b_t}M)(n_t+1)) = \lambda^-(M(n_t+1)) \cup \{b_t\}, \quad \lambda^+((\tilde{f}_{b_t}M)(n_t+1)) = \lambda^+(M(n_t+1)) \cup \{b_t - 1\}.$$

Hence $\tilde{f}_{b_t}M \in \mathcal{M}(\lambda)$.

Similarly, we can prove that $\tilde{e}_i\mathcal{M}(\lambda) \subset \mathcal{M}(\lambda) \cup \{0\}$.

To prove (b), suppose $M \in \mathcal{M}(\lambda)$ and $\tilde{e}_iM = 0$ for all $i \in I$. Then by the definition of the $\varepsilon_i(M)$, $M = \prod_t Y_0(b_t)^{-1}Y_{b_t}(0)$. Since $\mathrm{wt}(M) = a_1\Lambda_1 + \cdots + a_n\Lambda_n$ and $\mathrm{wt}(Y_k(0)) = \Lambda_k$, we have $M = Y_1(0)^{a_1} \cdots Y_n(0)^{a_n} = \prod_{t=1}^{a_1+\cdots+a_n} Y_0(b_t)^{-1}Y_{b_t}(0)$. □

Remark 3.9. The crystal $\mathcal{M}(\lambda)$ is obtained by multiplying a_k-many monomials in $\mathcal{M}(\Lambda_k)$ $(k = 1, \cdots, n)$. That is,

$$\mathcal{M}(\lambda) = \{M = M_{1,1} \cdots M_{1,a_1} M_{2,1} \cdots M_{n,a_n} \mid M_{k,l} \in \mathcal{M}(\Lambda_k) \ \text{ for } 1 \le k \le n,\ 1 \le l \le a_k\}.$$

Example 3.10. Let λ be a dominant integral weight $\Lambda_1 + 2\Lambda_2 + \Lambda_3$ of A_4 and let $M = Y_1(0)Y_1(1)Y_1(2)^{-1}Y_2(1)^{-1}Y_3(0)^3$. Then M can be expressed as

$$M = Y_0(3)^{-1}Y_3(0)Y_1(2)^{-1}Y_3(0)Y_0(2)^{-1}Y_1(1)Y_2(1)^{-1}Y_3(0)Y_0(1)^{-1}Y_1(0).$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} M(0)^+ &= Y_0(3)^{-1}Y_3(0)Y_1(2)^{-1}Y_3(0)Y_2(1)^{-1}Y_3(0)Y_0(1)^{-1}Y_1(0), \\ M(1)^+ &= Y_0(2)^{-1}Y_1(1), \quad M(2)^+ = M(3)^+ = 1, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} M(1)^- &= Y_2(1)^{-1}Y_3(0)Y_0(1)^{-1}Y_1(0), \\ M(2)^- &= Y_1(2)^{-1}Y_3(0)Y_0(2)^{-1}Y_1(1), \\ M(3)^- &= Y_0(3)^{-1}Y_3(0), \\ M(4)^- &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that M satisfies the conditions of Theorem 3.8. Therefore, $M \in \mathcal{M}(\lambda)$.

Definition 3.11. Set $M = \prod_j X_{b_j}(n_j)$.

(i) For each $k = 1, \cdots, n-1$, we define $M(k)$ by the monomial obtained by multiplying all $X_{b_j}(n_j)$ with $n_j = k$ in M, that is,

$$M(k) = \prod_{j:n_j=k} X_{b_j}(n_j) = \prod_j X_{b_j}(k).$$

(ii) For $M(k) = \prod_j X_{b_j}(k)$, we define by $\lambda(M(k))$ the sequence $(b_{j_1}, b_{j_2}, \cdots, b_{j_s})$ whose terms are arranged in such a way that $n+1 \geq b_{j_1} \geq b_{j_2} \geq \cdots \geq b_{j_s}$.

Corollary 3.12. *Let* $\lambda = a_1\Lambda_1 + \cdots + a_n\Lambda_n$. *Then* $\mathcal{M}(\lambda)$ *is expressed as the set of monomials*

$$M = \prod_{\substack{1\leq i\leq n+1\\ 0\leq j\leq n-1}} X_i(j)^{m_{ij}}$$

such that

(i) *for each* $j = 0, 1, \cdots, n-1$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} m_{ij} = a_{j+1} + \cdots + a_n,$$

(ii) *for each* $j = 1, \cdots, n-1$, $\lambda(M(j)) \prec \lambda(M(j-1))$.

Example 3.13. Let λ be a dominant integral weight $\Lambda_1 + 2\Lambda_2 + \Lambda_3$ of A_4 and let M be a monomial $Y_1(0)Y_1(1)Y_1(2)^{-1}Y_2(1)^{-1}Y_3(0)^3$ given in Example 3.10. Then M can be expressed as

$$X_1(2)X_2(1)^2X_1(1)X_3(0)^3X_1(0)$$

and so

$$\textstyle\sum_{i=1}^5 m_{i0} = 4,\ \sum_{i=1}^5 m_{i1} = 3,\ \sum_{i=1}^5 m_{i2} = 1 \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^5 m_{i3} = 0.$$

Moreover, since

$$M(2) = X_1(2),\ M(1) = X_1(1)X_2(1)^2 \text{ and } M(0) = X_1(0)X_3(0)^3,$$

we know that $\lambda(M(j)) \prec \lambda(M(j-1))$ for all $j = 1, 2, 3$. Therefore, $M \in \mathcal{M}(\lambda)$.

Consider the condition (ii) in Corollary 3.12. For $M = \prod_{\substack{1\leq i\leq n+1\\ 0\leq j\leq n-1}} X_i(j)^{m_{ij}}$, there are $m_{i,j}$ -many i entries in the sequence $\lambda(M(j))$. Therefore, the condition $\lambda(M(j)) \prec \lambda(M(j-1))$ implies that

$$\begin{aligned} &m_{1,n} = 0,\ \ m_{ij} = 0 \quad \text{for } 2 \leq i \leq n+1,\ n-i+2 \leq j \leq n, \\ &\sum_{k=i}^{n+1} m_{k,j} \leq \sum_{k=i+1}^{n+1} m_{k,j-1} \quad \text{for } i = 1, \cdots, n+1,\ j = 1, \cdots, n. \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

Therefore, Corollary 3.12 is expressed as follows:

Corollary 3.14. *Let* $\lambda = a_1\Lambda_1 + \cdots + a_n\Lambda_n$. *Then* $\mathcal{M}(\lambda)$ *is expressed as the set of monomials*

$$M = \prod_{\substack{1\leq i\leq n+1\\ 0\leq j\leq n-1}} X_i(j)^{m_{ij}}$$

such that

(i) $m_{1,n} = 0,\ \ m_{ij} = 0$ *for* $2 \le i \le n+1,\ n-i+2 \le j \le n,$

(ii) $\displaystyle\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} m_{ij} = a_{j+1} + \cdots + a_n$ *for each* $j = 0, 1, \cdots, n-1,$

(iii) $\displaystyle\sum_{k=i}^{n+1} m_{k,j} \le \sum_{k=i+1}^{n+1} m_{k,j-1}$ *for* $i = 1, \cdots, n+1,\ j = 1, \cdots, n.$

4. The connection with Young tableaux

In this section, we give the correspondence between monomial realization and tableau realization of crystal base for the classical Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = A_n$. To prove the results in this section, we will adopt the expression of monomials given in Corollary 3.12.

Before we give the correspondence between monomial realization and tableau realization, we introduce certain tableaux with given shape which is different from Young diagram given by Kashiwara and Nakashima.

Definition 4.1. (i) We define a *reverse Young diagram* to be a collection of boxes in right-justified rows with a weakly decreasing number of boxes in each row from bottom to top.

(ii) We define a (reverse) *tableau* by a reverse Young diagram filled with positive integers.

(iii) A (reverse) tableau S is called a (reverse) *semistandard tableau* if the entries in S are weakly increasing from left right in each row and strictly increasing from top to bottom in each column.

Remark 4.2. A reverse Young diagram is just a diagram obtained by reflecting Young diagram to the origin.

Let λ be a dominant integral weight. Let $S(\lambda)$ (resp. $T(\lambda)$) be the set of all (reverse) semistandard tableaux (resp. semistandard tableaux) of shape λ with entries on $\{1, 2, \cdots, n\}$, which is realized as crystal basis of finite dimensional irreducible modules [9, 11]. For the fundamental weight Λ_k $(k = 1, \cdots, n)$, we have $T(\Lambda_k) = S(\Lambda_k)$.

Theorem 4.3. *Let* $\lambda = a_1\Lambda_1 + \cdots + a_n\Lambda_n$ *be a dominant integral weight. Then there is a crystal isomorphism* $\psi : \mathcal{M}(\lambda) \to S(\lambda)$.

Proof. Let M be a monomial in $\mathcal{M}(\lambda)$. Then M is expressed as

$$M = \prod_{\substack{1 \le i \le n+1 \\ 0 \le j \le n-1}} X_i(j)^{m_{ij}}.$$

We define $\psi(M)$ to be the semistandard tableau with m_{ij}-many i entries in $(j+1)$-st row (from bottom to top) for $i = 1, \cdots, n+1$, $j = 0, 1, \cdots, n-1$. Indeed, by the condition (ii) of

Corollary 3.14, the tableau $\psi(M)$ is of shape λ. Moreover, the condition (i) and (iii) imply that $\psi(M)$ is semistandard.

Conversely, let S be a tableau of $S(\lambda)$ with $m_{i,j}$-many i entries in the j-th row (from bottom to top) for $i = 1, \cdots, n+1$ and $j = 1, \cdots, n$. We define $\psi^{-1}(S)$ by the monomial

$$\prod_{\substack{1 \le i \le n+1 \\ 1 \le j \le n}} X_i(j-1)^{m_{i,j}}.$$

Then since S is semistandard, it is easy to see that $\psi^{-1}(S)$ satisfies the condition (i)-(iii) of Corollary 3.14. Moreover, it is clear that ψ and ψ^{-1} are inverses of each other.

Now, it remains to show that ψ is a crystal morphism. Let $M = \prod X_i(j)^{m_{ij}}$ be a monomial in $\mathcal{M}(\lambda)$. Let $X_a(k_1)$ and $X_a(k_2)$ be the monomials corresponding to the entries a in $S_{i,j}$ and $S_{i',j'}$, respectively. By the definitions of $\psi(M)$ and $S_{i,j}$ ($1 \le i \le n$, $1 \le j \le a_k$), we have the following fact:

$$\text{If } k_1 > k_2, \text{ then } i > i', \text{ or } i = i' \text{ and } j > j'.$$

Therefore, from the definition of Kashiwara operators on the set $\mathcal{M}$ of monomials and the tensor product rule of Kashiwara operators which is applied to the set $S(\lambda)$, it is easy to see that ψ is a crystal morphism of $U_q(A_n)$-modules. □

Example 4.4. Let λ be a dominant integral weight $\Lambda_1 + 2\Lambda_2 + \Lambda_3$ of A_3 and let M be a monomial $Y_1(3)^{-1}Y_2(0)^2Y_3(1)^{-1}$, then it can be expressed as

$$M = Y_1(3)^{-1}Y_4(0)(Y_0(2)^{-1}Y_2(0))^2Y_3(1)^{-1}Y_4(0)$$

and so it is a monomial of $\mathcal{M}(\Lambda_1 + 2\Lambda_2 + \Lambda_3)$. Moreover, M is also expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} M &= X_2(2)X_3(1)X_1(1)^2X_4(0)^2X_2(0)^2 \\ &= (X_2(2)X_3(1)X_4(0)) \times (X_1(1)X_4(0)) \times (X_1(1)X_2(0)) \times X_2(0). \end{aligned}$$

Then we have the matrix (m_{ij}) ($1 \le i \le 4$, $0 \le j \le 3$) and the semistandard tableau $S \in S(\Lambda_1 + 2\Lambda_2 + \Lambda_3)$ as follows:

$$(m_{ij}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad S = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \cline{4-4} \multicolumn{3}{c|}{} & 2 \\ \cline{2-4} \multicolumn{1}{c|}{} & 1 & 1 & 3 \\ \hline 2 & 2 & 4 & 4 \\ \hline \end{array}$$

We have the following proposition between $S(\lambda)$ and $T(\lambda)$.

Proposition 4.5. [10, 11] *For a dominant integral weight $\lambda = a_1\Lambda_1 + \cdots + a_n\Lambda_n$, there is a crystal isomorphism $\varphi : S(\lambda) \to T(\lambda)$ for $U_q(A_n)$-module given by*

$$\varphi(S) = S_{n,1} \leftarrow S_{n,2} \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow S_{n,a_n} \leftarrow S_{n-1,1} \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow S_{1,a_1},$$

where $S_{i,j} \in S(\Lambda_i)$ is the column of S of length i ($1 \le i \le n$, $1 \le j \le a_i$) from right to left.

Corollary 4.6. *Let $\lambda = a_1\Lambda_1 + \cdots + a_n\Lambda_n$ be a dominant integral weight. There is a crystal isomorphism $\phi : \mathcal{M}(\lambda) \to T(\lambda)$.*

Proof. By Theorem 4.3 and Proposition 4.5, $\phi = \varphi \circ \psi$ is a crystal isomorphism. □

Example 4.7. Let M be a monomial $Y_1(3)^{-1}Y_2(0)^2Y_3(1)^{-1}$ of A_3 given in Example 4.4. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(M) &= S_{3,1} \leftarrow S_{2,2} \leftarrow S_{2,1} \leftarrow S_{1,1}\\ &= \begin{array}{|c|}\hline 2\\\hline 3\\\hline 4\\\hline\end{array} \leftarrow \begin{array}{|c|}\hline 1\\\hline 4\\\hline\end{array} \leftarrow \begin{array}{|c|}\hline 1\\\hline 2\\\hline\end{array} \leftarrow \begin{array}{|c|}\hline 2\\\hline\end{array}\\ &= \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|}\hline 1&1&2&4\\\hline 2&2&3&\multicolumn{1}{c}{}\\\cline{1-3} 4&\multicolumn{3}{c}{}\\\cline{1-1}\end{array}\ .\end{aligned}$$

Conversely, let T be a tableau of $T(\Lambda_1 + 2\Lambda_2 + \Lambda_3)$

$$T = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|}\hline 1&1&2&4\\\hline 2&2&3&\multicolumn{1}{c}{}\\\cline{1-3} 4&\multicolumn{3}{c}{}\\\cline{1-1}\end{array}\ .$$

By applying the reverse bumping rule to the entries from bottom to top and from right to left, i.e., from the entry 4 of the rightmost column to the entry 1 on top of the leftmost column, we have the following sequence

$$(2, 3, 4, 1, 4, 1, 2, 2).$$

Therefore, we have

$$S_{3,1} = \begin{array}{|c|}\hline 2\\\hline 3\\\hline 4\\\hline\end{array},\quad S_{2,2} = \begin{array}{|c|}\hline 1\\\hline 4\\\hline\end{array},,\quad S_{2,1} = \begin{array}{|c|}\hline 1\\\hline 2\\\hline\end{array} \text{ and } S_{1,1} = \begin{array}{|c|}\hline 2\\\hline\end{array},$$

and since

$$\begin{gathered}\psi^{-1}(S_{3,1}) = X_2(2)X_3(1)X_4(0),\ \psi^{-1}(S_{2,2}) = X_1(1)X_4(0),\\ \psi^{-1}(S_{2,1}) = X_1(1)X_2(0),\ \psi^{-1}(S_{1,1}) = X_2(0),\end{gathered}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi^{-1}(T) &= \psi^{-1}(S_{3,1})\psi^{-1}(S_{2,2})\psi^{-1}(S_{2,1})\psi^{-1}(S_{1,1})\\ &= Y_1(3)^{-1}Y_4(0)(Y_0(2)^{-1}Y_2(0))^2Y_3(1)^{-1}Y_4(0)\\ &= Y_1(3)^{-1}Y_2(0)^2Y_3(1)^{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

References

[1] V. G. Drinfeld, *Hopf algebras and the quantum Yang-Baxter equation*, Soviet Math. Dokl. **32** (1985), 254–258.

[2] W. Fulton, *Young Tableaux : with applications to representation theory and geometry*, Cambridge University Press, 1997.

[3] J. Hong, S.-J. Kang, *Introduction to Quantum Groups and Crystal Bases*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics **42**, Amer. Math. Soc., 2002.

[4] M. Jimbo, *A q-difference analogue of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ and the Yang-Baxter equation*, Lett. Math. Phys. **10** (1985), 63–69.

[5] V. Kac, *Infinite Dimensional Lie Algebras*, Cambridge University Press, 3rd ed., 1990.

[6] M. Kashiwara, *Crystalizing the q-analogue of universal enveloping algebras*, Comm. Math. Phys. **133** (1990), 249–260.

[7] ———, *On crystal bases of the q-analogue of universal enveloping algebras*, Duke Math. J. **63** (1991), 465–516.

[8] ———, *Realizations of crystals*, to appear in Contemp. Math.

[9] M. Kashiwara, T. Nakashima, *Crystal graphs for representations of the q-analogue of classical Lie algebras*, J. Algebra **165** (1994), 295-345.

[10] J.-A. Kim, D.-U. Shin, *Insertion scheme for the crystal of the classical Lie algebras*, submitted

[11] ———, *Correspondence between Young walls and Young tableaux realizations of crystal bases for the classical Lie algebras*, submitted

[12] I. G. Macdonald, *Symmetric Functions and Hall Polynomials*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2nd ed., 1995.

[13] H. Nakajima, *Quiver varieties and tensor products*, Invent. Math. **146** (2001), 399–449.

[14] H. Nakajima, *t-analogs of q-characters of quantum affine algebras of type A_n, D_n*, to appear in Contemp. Math.

[15] T. Nakashima, *Crystal base and a generalization of the Littlewood-Richardson rule for classical Lie algebras*, Comm. Math. Phys. **154** (1993), 215–243.

*School of Mathematics, Korea Institute for Advanced Study, Seoul 130-012, Korea
E-mail address: `sjkang@kias.re.kr, shindong@kias.re.kr`

$^\diamond$Department of Mathematics, Seoul National University, Seoul 151-747, Korea
E-mail address: `jakim@math.snu.ac.kr`